URL: https://ami.uni-eszterhazy.hu

On the sum of divisors function of linearly recurrent sequences

Florian Luca^a, Makoko Campbell Manape^b

^aMathematics Division, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa fluca@sun.ac.za

^bSchool of Maths, Wits University, Johannesburg, South Africa 2127079@students.wits.ac.za

Abstract. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and $\sigma_k(n)$ be the sum of the kth powers of the positive divisors of n. In this paper, we prove that if $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is any nondegenerate linearly recurrent sequence of integers whose general term is up to sign not a polynomial in n, then the inequality $\sigma_k(|U_n|) \leq |U_{\sigma_k(n)}|$ holds for all n sufficiently large, and the inequality $\sigma(|U_{nk}|) \leq |U_{\sigma_k(n)}|$ holds for almost all natural numbers n, where $\sigma(n) = \sigma_1(n)$. In fact, the second inequality fails on a set of $n \leq x$ of counting function $O(x/\log x)$.

Keywords: linear recurrence sequences, sum of divisors function

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{U} := (U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a linearly recurrent sequence of integers. Such a sequence satisfies a recurrence of the form

$$U_{n+d} = a_1 U_{n+d-1} + \cdots + a_d U_n$$
 for all $n > 1$

with integers a_1, \ldots, a_d , where U_1, \ldots, U_d are integers. Assuming d is minimal, U_n can be represented as

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^s P_i(n)\alpha_i^n, \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\Psi(X) := X^d - a_1 X^{d-1} - \dots - a_d = \prod_{i=1}^s (X - \alpha_i)^{\nu_i}$$

Submitted: December 12, 2024 Accepted: March 13, 2025 Published online: March 27, 2025 is the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{U} , $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s$ are the distinct roots of $\Psi(X)$ with multiplicities ν_1, \ldots, ν_s , respectively, and $P_i(X)$ is a polynomial of degree $\nu_i - 1$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_i)$. The sequence is nondegenerate if α_i/α_j is not a root of 1 for any $i \neq j$ in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Recently (see [4]), we proved that if $(U_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is any nondegenerate linearly recurrent sequence of integers such that $|U_n|$ is not a polynomial in n for large values of n, then the inequalities

$$\phi(|U_n|) \ge |U_{\phi(n)}|$$
 and $\sigma(|U_n|) \le |U_{\sigma(n)}|$

hold for almost all natural numbers n. In fact, we showed that the set of positive integers $n \leq x$ for which the above inequalities fail has counting function $O(x/\log x)$.

Let $\sigma_k(n)$ be the sum of the kth powers of the positive divisors of n. We denote $\sigma_1(n)$ as $\sigma(n)$. In this paper, we continue where we left off in the last paper [4] by considering the inequalities of the arithmetic function $\sigma_k(n)$ for $k \geq 2$.

In this paper, we prove the following theorems. Recall that if f(x) and g(x) are functions defined on \mathbb{R}_+ with values in \mathbb{R}_+ we write f(x) = O(g(x)) and f(x) = o(g(x)) if the inequality f(x) < Kg(x) holds with some constant K > 0 and all $x > x_0$, and $\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0$, respectively. Further, the notations $f(x) \ll g(x)$ and $g(x) \gg f(x)$ are equivalent to f(x) = O(g(x)).

Theorem 1.1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\mathbf{U} := (U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nondegenerate linearly recurrent sequence of integers such that $|U_n|$ is not a polynomial in n for all large n and let x be a large real number. Then the inequality

$$\sigma_k(|U_n|) \le |U_{\sigma_k(n)}|$$

holds for all n sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\mathbf{U} := (U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nondegenerate linearly recurrent sequence of integers such that $|U_n|$ is not a polynomial in n for all large n and let x be a large real number. Then the inequality

$$\sigma(|U_{n^k}|) \le |U_{\sigma_k(n)}|$$

holds for almost all positive integers n. In fact, the set of positive integers $n \le x$ for which it fails is of cardinality $O(x/\log x)$.

2. Preliminary results

The following lemma follows from Theorem 323 in [3].

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \geq 3$. We then have

$$\sigma(n) \ll n \log \log n$$
.

The following lemma follows from a result on page 116 in [6].

Lemma 2.2. Let $k \geq 2$. For all $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$\sigma_k(n) \leq 2n^k$$
.

For a positive integer n put p(n) to be the smallest prime factor of n with the convention that p(1) = 1. For $x \ge y \ge 2$ put

$$\Phi(x,y) := \#\{n \le x : p(n) > y\}.$$

The following inequality is a consequence of the Brun sieve and appears, for example, as an Exercise on page 11 in [2].

Lemma 2.3. We have uniformly for $x \ge y \ge 2$,

$$\Phi(x,y) \ll \frac{x}{\log y}.$$

Let $\Omega(n)$ be the total number of prime factors of n counting multiplicities.

Lemma 2.4. Let $x \ge 10$. The number of positive integers $n \le x$ such that $\Omega(n) \ge 10 \log \log x$ is $O(x/(\log x)^2)$.

Proof. See Lemma 3 in [4].
$$\Box$$

Let $\tau(n)$ be the total number of divisors of n.

Lemma 2.5. Let $x \ge 10$ and $k \ge 1$ be an integer. The number of positive integers $n \le x$ such that $\tau(\sigma_k(n)) > \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$ is $O(x/(\log x)^2)$.

Proof. The remarks on page 128 in [5] show that

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau(\sigma_k(n)) = x \exp\left(c(x) \left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log x}\right)\right)\right),$$

holds with $c(x) \in [\alpha, \beta]$, where α and β are constants. Then following the proof of Lemma 4 in [4] concludes the proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\mathbf{U} := (U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nondegenerate linearly recurrent sequence of integers whose general term is given by (1.1) with $s \geq 2$ and assume that $|\alpha_1| = \max\{|\alpha_i| : i = 1, \ldots, s\} > 1$. Then for every $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, there exist constants x_0 and $c := c(U) \in (0,\epsilon)$ such that for all $x \geq x_0$, the number of $n \leq x$ such that

$$|U_n| \le |\alpha_1|^{n(1-\delta)},$$

with $\delta := x^{-c}$ is of cardinality $O(x^{\epsilon})$.

The proof of the above lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 5 in [4], by considering the $n \in (x^{\epsilon}, x]$ because there are only $O(x^{\epsilon})$ positive integers $n \leq x^{\epsilon}$ and by taking $c := \epsilon/7sr \in (0, \epsilon)$, where r is as defined in [4].

3. The proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that $|\alpha_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\alpha_s|$ and $|U_n|$ is not a polynomial in n for large n. In particular, $|\alpha_1| > 1$. By an application of the subspace theorem (see page 229 in [1]), we have that

$$|U_{\sigma_k(n)}| \ge |\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)/2}$$

holds for all $n \ge n_0$, for some constant n_0 . By the fact that $\sigma_k(n) \ge n^k$, the above inequality becomes

$$|U_{\sigma_k(n)}| \ge |\alpha_1|^{n^k/2}$$

for all $n \ge n_0$. On the other hand, using (1.1) and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$|U_n| = |\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i(n)\alpha_i^n| \ll n^{\beta} |\alpha_1|^n,$$

where $\beta := \max\{\nu_i - 1 : i = 1, ..., s\}$ and $\nu_i - 1$ is the degree of the polynomial $P_i(X)$. Using Lemma 2.2 and the above inequality, we have that

$$\sigma_k(|U_n|) \le 2|U_n|^k \ll n^{k\beta}|\alpha_1|^{kn}.$$

Let c_1 be the constant implied by the \ll symbol in the above inequality. We claim that

$$c_1 n^{k\beta} |\alpha_1|^{kn} \le |\alpha_1|^{n^k/2}$$
 (3.1)

holds for all $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is some constant. Taking the logarithm on both sides, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$2kn + O(\log n) \le n^k,$$

which holds for all $n \ge n_0(k, \mathbf{U})$ sufficiently large because $k \ge 2$. Thus, (3.1) holds and hence,

$$\sigma_k(|U_n|) \le |U_{\sigma_k(n)}|$$

holds for all $n \ge n_0$, where n_0 is some constant depending both on k and on the sequence **U**.

4. The proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us assume that $|\alpha_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\alpha_s|$ and $|U_n|$ is not a polynomial in n for large n. In particular, $|\alpha_1| > 1$. We assume that k is an integer and $k \geq 2$ because for the case k = 1, it is already proved (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [4]). For a positive integer n put p(n) be the smallest prime factor of n with the convention that p(1) = 1. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \{ n \le x : p(n)^k > x^{c_1} \},\$$

where $c_1 \in (0, 1/4k)$ is a constant to be determined later. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(x) := \{ n \le x : \sigma(|U_{n^k}|) > |U_{\sigma_k(n)}| \}.$$

We need to prove that the set C(x) has counting function of $O(x/\log x)$. Let us split the set C(x) into the following three subsets

$$C_1(x) := \{ n \le x : n \in \mathcal{A}(x) \text{ and } n \in \mathcal{C}(x) \},$$

$$C_2(x) := \{ n \le x^{1/2k} : n \notin \mathcal{A}(x) \text{ and } n \in \mathcal{C}(x) \},$$

$$C_3(x) := \{ n \in (x^{1/2k}, x] : n \notin \mathcal{A}(x) \text{ and } n \in \mathcal{C}(x) \}.$$

The set $C_1(x)$ is a subset of A(x). By Lemma 2.3 with $y := x^{c_1/k}$, we have that

$$\#\mathcal{A}(x) = \Phi(x, y) \ll \frac{x}{\log y} \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Thus, the subset $C_1(x)$ has counting function of $O(x/\log x)$. The second subset has counting function of $O(x^{1/2k}) = o(x/\log x)$ because the set $C_2(x)$ has at most $x^{1/2k}$ positive integers $n \le x^{1/2k}$. From now on, let $n \in C_3(x)$.

Then $n \in (x^{1/2k}, x]$ and $p(n)^k \le x^{c_1}$. Since p(n) divides n, then (n/p(n)) divides n as well. Thus, we have that

$$\sigma_k(n) \ge n^k + \frac{n^k}{p(n)^k} \ge n^k + \frac{n^k}{x^{c_1}} = n^k(1+\delta),$$

where $\delta := 1/x^{c_1}$. The above inequality gives

$$n^k \le \frac{\sigma_k(n)}{1+\delta} \le \sigma_k(n)(1-\delta_1),$$

where $\delta_1 := \delta/2$. Let

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i(n)\alpha_i^n.$$

The case s=1 needs to be treated separately as there are only finitely many n. Assume that s=1. In this case $\Psi(X)=(X-\alpha_1)^d$ and α_1 is an integer with $|\alpha_1| \geq 2$. Thus,

$$U_n = P_1(n)\alpha_1^n,$$

where $P_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Let n be large (say larger than the maximal real root of $P_1(X)$). By Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\sigma(|U_{n^k}|) \ll |U_{n^k}| \log \log |U_{n^k}|
\ll |P_1(n^k)| |\alpha_1|^{n^k} \log \log |P_1(n^k)| |\alpha_1|^{n^k}
\ll n^{k(d-1)} |\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)(1-\delta_1)} \log n.$$
(4.1)

On the other hand, we have that

$$|U_{\sigma_k(n)}| = |P_1(\sigma_k(n))||\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)} \gg \sigma_k(n)^{d-1}|\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)} \gg n^{k(d-1)}|\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)}.$$
(4.2)

Since $n \in \mathcal{C}_3(x)$, then

$$|U_{\sigma_k(n)}| < \sigma(|U_{n^k}|).$$

Using the above inequality together with (4.1) and (4.2), we have that

$$n^{k(d-1)} |\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)} \ll |U_{\sigma_k(n)}| < \sigma(|U_{n^k}|) \ll n^{k(d-1)} |\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)(1-\delta_1)} \log n.$$

The above inequality leads to the following inequality

$$|\alpha_1|^{\delta_1 \sigma_k(n)} \ll \log n.$$

Let c_2 be the constant implied by the \ll symbol in the above inequality. Then the above inequality is equivalent to

$$|\alpha_1|^{\delta_1 \sigma_k(n)} \le c_2 \log n.$$

Taking the logarithm on both sides, this is equivalent to

$$\delta_1 \sigma_k(n) \log |\alpha_1| \le \log c_2 + \log \log n.$$

Since $n \in (x^{1/2k}, x]$, then the right-hand side of the above inequality is $O(\log \log x)$. Since $\delta_1 = 0.5\delta$, then $\delta_1 \sigma_k(n) \ge 0.5\delta n^k > 0.5x^{-c_1}x^{1/2} = 0.5x^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma := 0.5 - c_1 > 0.5 - 1/4k > 0$. Thus, the left-hand side is $\gg x^{\gamma}$. Then above inequality becomes

$$x^{\gamma} \ll \delta_1 \sigma_k(n) \log |\alpha_1| \le \log c_2 + \log \log n \ll \log \log x$$

which does not hold for large n because $\log \log x = o(x^{\gamma})$. Thus, x is bounded and so is n.

From now on, we assume that $s \geq 2$. Then the inequality

$$|\alpha_1|^{n^k/2} \le |U_{n^k}| \ll n^{kd} |\alpha_1|^{n^k}$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is some constant. The left-hand side is by an application of the subspace theorem (see page 229 in [1]) and the right-hand side is by triangle inequality. Thus, for $n \geq n_0$, we have that

$$\log\log|U_{n^k}| = \log n^k + O(1).$$

Using the above inequality, we have that

$$\sigma(|U_{n^k}|) \ll |U_{n^k}| \log \log |U_{n^k}| \ll n^{kd} |\alpha_1|^{n^k} (\log n^k + O(1))$$

$$\leq \sigma_k(n)^d |\alpha_1|^{\sigma_k(n)(1-\delta_1)} (\log \sigma_k(n) + O(1)).$$

Let c_3 and c_4 be constants implied by the \ll symbol and O(1) in the above inequality, respectively. We claim that with $\delta_2 := 1/x^{2c_1}$ and $m = \sigma_k(n)$, the inequality

$$c_3 m^d |\alpha_1|^{m(1-\delta_1)} (\log m + c_4) < |\alpha_1|^{m(1-\delta_2)}$$

holds for all $n \ge n_0$, where n_0 is some constant. Taking logarithm on both sides, the above inequality is equivalent to

$$\log c_3 + d\log m + \log(\log m + c_4) < m(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\log|\alpha_1|. \tag{4.3}$$

Since $n \in (x^{1/2k}, x]$ and $m = \sigma_k(n) \le 2x^k$ (by Lemma 2.2), then the left-hand side of (4.3) is $O(\log x)$. Since $\delta_1 = \delta/2$ and $\delta_2 = \delta^2$, then it follows that $\delta_1 - \delta_2 \ge 0.25\delta = 0.25x^{-c_1}$ for $x \ge x_0$, for some constant x_0 . Thus, the right-hand side of (4.3) is $\gg x^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma := 0.5 - c_1 > 0$. Thus, since $\log x = o(x^{\gamma})$, then we have that

$$\log c_3 + d\log m + \log(\log m + c_4) \ll \log x \ll x^{\gamma} \ll m(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\log|\alpha_1|$$

holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, inequality (4.3) holds for all $n \geq n_0$ and hence,

$$|U_m| < |\alpha_1|^{m(1-\delta_2)}$$

holds for all $x \ge x_0$, for some constant x_0 . Note that by Lemma 2.2 (since $k \ge 2$), $m = \sigma_k(n) \le 2x^k$. By Lemma 2.5, we can choose $c_1 := c/2$ with $\epsilon = 1/2k$ and then the set of $m \le 2x^k$ satisfying the above inequality is of cardinality

$$O(\sqrt{x})$$
.

But this is only an upper bound on the number of distinct values of $m = \sigma_k(n)$ and we have to get the upper bound on the number of n's themselves. By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we may assume that $\Omega(n) \leq 10 \log \log x$ and $\tau(\sigma_k(n)) \leq \exp(\sqrt{\log x})$ since the number of $n \leq x$ for which one of the above inequalities fails is $O(x/(\log x)^2) = o(x/\log x)$. Writing

$$n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_\ell^{a_\ell}$$

with distinct primes p_1, \ldots, p_ℓ and positive exponents a_1, \ldots, a_ℓ , so

$$\sigma_k(n) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{p_i^{k(a_i+1)} - 1}{p_i^k - 1} \right).$$

Given $m = \sigma_k(n)$, each of $d_i := (p_i^{k(a_i+1)} - 1)/(p_i^k - 1)$ is a divisor of $\sigma_k(n)$. Additionally, given d_i and also a_i , p_i is uniquely determined. Thus, since d_i can be fixed in at most $\tau(\sigma_k(n))$ ways and $a_i \leq \Omega(n)$ can be fixed in at most $\Omega(n)$ ways, it follows that $p_i^{a_i}$ can be fixed in at most $\tau(\sigma_k(n))\Omega(n)$ ways. This is so for a fixed i, but $i \leq \ell = \omega(n) \leq \Omega(n)$. Thus, the number of such n when given $\sigma_k(n)$ and $\Omega(n)$ is at most

$$\left((10\log\log x) \exp\left(\sqrt{\log x} \right) \right)^{10\log\log x} < \exp\left(20(\log\log x) \sqrt{\log x} \right)$$

for all $x \ge x_0$, for some constant x_0 . Now varying $\Omega(n)$ up to $10 \log \log x$ and $\sigma_k(n)$ up to $O(\sqrt{x})$, we get that the number of possible $n \le x$ is

$$\ll \sqrt{x}(\log\log x)\exp\left(20(\log\log x)\sqrt{\log x}\right) = o(x/\log x)$$

as $x \to \infty$. Hence, the set $C_3(x)$ has counting function $o(x/\log x)$ and thus the set C(x) has a counting function of $O(x/\log x)$. This concludes the proof.

Acknowledgements

We thank an anonymous referee for constructive criticism which improved the quality of our manuscript.

References

- J.-H. EVERTSE: On sums of S-units and linear recurrences, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), pp. 225–244.
- [2] R. HALL, G. TENENBAUM: Divisors, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 90, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988, DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511566004.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, E. M. WRIGHT: An introduction to the theory of numbers, Sixth edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [4] F. Luca, M. C. Manape: On the Euler function of linearly recurrent sequences, Fibonacci Quart. 62 (2024), pp. 316–328.
- [5] F. LUCA, C. POMERANCE: On the average number of divisors of the Euler function, Publ. Math. Debrecen 70 (2007), pp. 125–148.
- [6] G. TENENBAUM: Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, Third edition, 163, American Mathematical Soc.: Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2015.