

# Addendum and corrigenda to the paper “Infinitary superperfect numbers”

**Tomohiro Yamada**

Center for Japanese language and culture, Osaka University,  
 562-8558, 8-1-1, Aomatanihigashi, Minoo, Osaka, Japan  
[tyamada1093@gmail.com](mailto:tyamada1093@gmail.com)

*Submitted March 30, 2018 — Accepted June 13, 2018*

## Abstract

We shall give an elementary proof for Lemma 2.4 and correct some errors in Table 1 of the author’s paper of the title. Moreover, we shall extend this table up to integers below  $2^{32}$ .

*Keywords:* Odd perfect numbers, infinitary superperfect numbers, unitary divisors, infinitary divisors, the sum of divisors

*MSC:* 11A05, 11A25

In p. 215, Lemma 2.4 of the author’s paper “Infinitary superperfect numbers”, this journal **47** (2017), 211–218, it is stated that, if  $p^2 + 1 = 2q^m$  with  $m \geq 2$ , then a)  $m$  must be a power of 2 and, b) for any given prime  $q$ , there exists at most one such  $m$ . Here the author owed the former to an old result of Størmer [5] that the equation  $x^2 + 1 = 2y^m$  with  $m$  odd has only one positive integer solution  $(x, y) = (1, 1)$  and the latter to Ljunggren’s result [2] that the equation  $x^2 + 1 = 2y^n$  has only two positive integer solutions  $(x, y) = (1, 1)$  and  $(239, 13)$ . However, Ljunggren’s proof is quite difficult. Steiner and Tzanakis [3] gave a simpler proof, which uses lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms and is still analytic.

We note that the latter fact on  $p^2 + 1 = 2q^m$  mentioned above can be proved in a more elementary way. In his earlier paper [4], Størmer proved that, if  $x, y, A, t$  are positive integers such that  $x^2 + 1 = 2A$ ,  $y^2 + 1 = 2A^{2^t}$  and  $x \pm y \equiv 0 \pmod{A}$ , then  $(x, y, A, 1) = (3, 7, 5, 2)$  or  $(5, 239, 13, 2)$ . We can easily see that if  $A$  is prime and  $x^2 + 1 \equiv y^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{A}$ , then we must have  $x \pm y \equiv 0 \pmod{A}$ . Now the latter fact for  $p^2 + 1 = 2q^m$  mentioned above immediately follows. Moreover, the above-mentioned result for  $x^2 + 1 = 2y^m$  with  $m$  odd had also already been proved

in [4]. Hence, the above statement follows from results in [4]. The most advanced method used in [4] is classical arithmetic in Gaussian integers.

Moreover, we can prove the latter fact on  $p^2 + 1 = 2q^m$  in a completely elementary way. Applying Théorème 1 of Størmer [5] to  $x^2 - 2q^2y^2 = -1$ , we see that if  $(x, y) = (x_0, y_0)$  is a solution of  $x^2 - 2q^2y^2 = -1$  and  $y_0$  is a power of  $q$ , then  $(x_0, y_0)$  must be the smallest solution of  $x^2 - 2q^2y^2 = -1$ . Hence, for any give prime  $q$ ,  $x^2 + 1 = 2q^{2^t}$  can have at most one positive integer solution  $(x, t)$ .

Another elementary way is to use a theorem of Carmichael [1] (a simpler proof is given by Yabuta [6]). Let  $(x, y) = (x_1, y_1)$  be the smallest solution of  $x^2 - 2y^2 = -1$  with  $y$  divisible by  $q$ . Carmichael's theorem applied to the Pell sequence implies that, if  $(x, y) = (x_2, y_2)$  is another solution of  $x^2 - 2y^2 = -1$  with  $y$  divisible by  $q$ , then  $y_2$  must have a prime factor other than  $q$ . Hence,  $y_2$  cannot be a power of  $q$ .

Corrigenda to p. 213, Table 1, the right row for  $N$ :

- The fifth column should be  $856800 = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ .
- The sixth column should be  $1321920 = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$ .
- The twelfth column should be  $30844800 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17$ .
- Moreover, we extended our search limit to  $2^{32}$  and found four more integers  $N$  dividing  $\sigma_\infty(\sigma_\infty(N))$ :

$N$	$k$
$1304784000 = 2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 41$	7
$1680459462 = 2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$	5
$4201148160 = 2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$	6
$4210315200 = 2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$	8

## References

- [1] R. D. CARMICHAEL, On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms  $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$ , *Ann. of Math.* Vol. 15(1) (1913-1914), 30–70.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1967797>
- [2] W. LJUNGGREN, Zur theorie der Gleichung  $X^2 + 1 = DY^4$ , *Avh. Norske, Vid. Akad. Oslo* Vol. 1, No. 5 (1942).
- [3] RAY STEINER AND NIKOS TZANAKIS, Simplifying the solution of Ljunggren's equation  $X^2 + 1 = 2Y^4$ , *J. Number Theory* Vol. 37(2) (1991), 123–132.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-314x\(05\)80029-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-314x(05)80029-0)
- [4] CARL STØRMER, Solution complète en nombres entiers  $m, n, x, y, k$  de l'équation  $m \arctg \frac{1}{x} + n \arctg \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$ , Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1895), Nr. 11, 21 pages.  
<https://doi.org/10.24033/bsmf.603>

- [5] CARL STØRMER, Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell  $x^2 - Dy^2 = \pm 1$  et leurs applications, Skrift. Vidensk. Christiania I. Math. -naturv. Klasse (1897), Nr. 2, 48 pages.
- [6] MINORU YABUTA, A simple proof of Carmichael's theorem on primitive divisors, *Fibonacci Quart.* Vol. 39(5) (2001), 439–443.