

## REMARKS ON UNIFORM DENSITY OF SETS OF INTEGERS

Zuzana Gáliková & Béla László (Nitra, Slovakia)

Tibor Šalát (Bratislava, Slovakia)

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Péter Kiss*

**Abstract.** The concept of the uniform density is introduced in papers [1], [2]. Some properties of this concept are studied in this paper. It is proved here that the uniform density has the Darboux property.

**AMS Classification Number:** 11B05

**Keywords:** asymptotic density, uniform density, almost convergence, Darboux property

### Introduction

Let  $A \subseteq N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $m, n \in N$ ,  $m < n$ . Denote by  $A(m, n)$  the cardinality of the set  $A \cap [m, n]$ . The numbers

$$\underline{d}(A) = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(1, n)}{n}, \quad \bar{d}(A) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(1, n)}{n}$$

are called the lower and the upper asymptotic density of the set  $A$ . If there exists

$$d(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(1, n)}{n}$$

then it is called the asymptotic density of  $A$ .

According to [1], [2] we set

$$\alpha_s = \min_{t \geq 0} A(t + 1, t + s), \quad \alpha^s = \max_{t \geq 0} A(t + 1, t + s).$$

Then there exist

$$\underline{u}(A) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_s}{s}, \quad \bar{u}(A) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^s}{s}$$

and they are called the lower and the upper uniform density of  $A$ , respectively.

It is obvious that for every  $A \subseteq N$

$$\underline{u}(A) \leq \underline{d}(A) \leq \bar{d}(A) \leq \bar{u}(A).$$

Hence if  $u(A)$  exists then  $d(A)$  exists as well and  $u(A) = d(A)$ . The converse is not true. For example put

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{10^k + 1, 10^k + 2, \dots, 10^k + k\}.$$

Then  $d(A) = 0$ , but  $\underline{u}(A) = 0$ ,  $\bar{u}(A) = 1$ .

Note that the numbers  $\alpha_s$  and  $\alpha^s$  can be replaced by the numbers  $\beta_s$  and  $\beta^s$ , respectively, where

$$\beta_s = \underline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t+1, t+s), \quad \beta^s = \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t+1, t+s)$$

(cf. [1], [2]).

In this paper we introduce some elementary remarks, observations on the concept of the uniform density and prove that this density has the Darboux property.

### 1. Uniform density $u(A)$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s}$ (uniformly with respect to $t \geq 0$ )

We introduce the following observation.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If there exists*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s} = L$$

*uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$ , then there exists  $u(A)$  and  $u(A) = L$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the assumption there exists an  $s_0 = s_0(\varepsilon) \in N$  such that for each  $s > s_0$  and each  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$(L - \varepsilon)s < A(t+1, t+s) < (L + \varepsilon)s.$$

By the definition of the numbers  $\beta_s, \beta^s$  we get from this for  $s > s_0$

$$L - \varepsilon \leq \frac{\beta_s}{s} \leq \frac{\beta^s}{s} \leq L + \varepsilon.$$

If  $s \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$L - \varepsilon \leq \underline{u}(A) \leq \bar{u}(A) \leq L + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary positive number, we get  $u(A) = L$ .

The foregoing theorem can be conversed.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If there exists  $u(A)$  then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s} = u(A)$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** Put  $u(A) = L$ . Since

$$L = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_p}{p} = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^p}{p}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $p_0$  such that for each  $p > p_0$  we have

$$(L - \varepsilon)p < \alpha_p \leq \alpha^p < (L + \varepsilon)p.$$

So we get

$$(L - \varepsilon)p < \min_{t \geq 0} A(t+1, t+p) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} A(t+1, t+p) < (L + \varepsilon)p.$$

By the definition of  $A(t+1, t+p)$  we get from this

$$\left| \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} - L \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for each  $p > p_0$  and each  $t \geq 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} = L \quad (= u(A))$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$ .

## 2. Uniform density and almost convergence

The concept of almost convergence was introduced in [5] (see also [10], p. 60).

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of real numbers almost converges to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \cdots + x_{n+p}}{p} = L$$

uniformly with respect to  $n \geq 0$ . If  $(x_n)_1^\infty$  almost converges to  $L$ , we write

$$F - \lim x_n = L.$$

One can conjecture that there is a relationship between the uniform density of a set  $A \subseteq N$  and the characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of this set ( $\chi_A(n) = 1$  if  $n \in A$ ,  $\chi_A(n) = 0$  if  $n \in N \setminus A$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A \subseteq N$ . Then  $u(A) = v$  if and only if  $F - \lim \chi_A(n) = v$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t \geq 0$ ,  $s \in N$ . By the definition of the sequence  $(\chi_A(n))_1^\infty$  we see that

$$\frac{A(t+1, t+s)}{s} = \frac{\chi_A(t+1) + \chi_A(t+2) + \cdots + \chi_A(t+s) - t}{s}.$$

The assertion follows from this equality by Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

### 3. Another way for defining the uniform density of sets

If  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < \cdots\} \subseteq N$  is an infinite set then it is well-known that

$$\underline{d}(A) = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{a_n}, \quad \bar{d}(A) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{a_n}$$

and

$$d(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{a_n}$$

(if  $d(A)$  exists) (cf. [8], p. 247). A similar result can be stated also for the uniform density.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < \cdots\} \subseteq N$  be an infinite set. Then  $u(A) = L$  if and only if*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}} = L$$

uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** 1. Let  $u(A) = L$ . Consider that for  $p \geq 2$

$$\frac{p}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}} = \frac{A(a_{k+1}, a_{k+p})}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}}.$$

By Theorem 1.2 (see (1)) the right-hand side converges by  $p \rightarrow \infty$  (uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ ) to  $u(A) = L$ . Hence (2) holds.

2. Suppose that (2) holds (uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ ). By Theorem 1.1 it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} = L$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$ .

We shall show it. Suppose in the first place that  $t \geq a_1$ . Then there exist  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$a_k < t + 1 \leq a_{k+1} < \cdots < a_{k+s} \leq t + p < a_{k+s+1}.$$

Then  $A(t + 1, t + p)$  equals to  $s$  and so

$$\frac{A(t + 1, t + p)}{p} = \frac{s}{p}.$$

Further on the basis of choice of the numbers  $k, s$  we get

$$a_{k+s} - a_{k+1} \leq p - 1 < a_{k+s+1} - a_k.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{s}{a_{k+s+1} - a_k + 1} < \frac{A(t + 1, t + p)}{p} < \frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}}.$$

But  $-a_k + 1 \leq -a_{k-1}$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s}{a_{k+s+1} - a_k + 1} &\geq \frac{s}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} = \frac{s + 3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} \frac{s}{s + 3} \\ &= \frac{s + 3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{s + 3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So we get wholly

$$(3) \quad \frac{s + 3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{s + 3}\right) < \frac{A(t + 1, t + p)}{p} < \frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}}.$$

Let  $\gamma > 0$ . Then by assumption (see (2)) there exists a  $v_0$  such that for each  $v > v_0$  we have

$$(4) \quad -\gamma < \frac{v}{a_{k+v} - a_{k+1}} - L < \gamma$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Using (4) we get from (3)

$$(5) \quad \frac{s + 3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} - L - \frac{3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} < \frac{A(t + 1, t + p)}{p} - L < \frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}} - L.$$

Let  $s > v_0$ . Then by (4) the right-hand side of (5) is less than  $\gamma$ . On the left-hand side we get

$$\frac{s + 3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} - L > -\gamma.$$

Further

$$\frac{-3}{a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1}} \geq \frac{-3}{s+2},$$

since

$$a_{k+s+1} - a_{k-1} = (a_k - a_{k-1}) + (a_{k+1} - a_k) + \cdots + (a_{k+s+1} - a_{k+s})$$

and each summand on the right-hand side is  $\geq 1$ .

Hence for every  $t \geq a_1$  we get from (5) ( $s > v_0$ )

$$(6) \quad -\gamma - \frac{3}{s+2} < \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} - L < \gamma$$

From this

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} = L$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \geq a_1$ .

It remains the case if  $0 \leq t < a_1$ . Since there is only a finite number of such  $t$ 's, it suffices to show that for each fixed  $t$ ,  $0 \leq t < a_1$ , we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} = L.$$

If  $t$  is fixed,  $0 \leq t < a_1$  and  $p$  is sufficiently large we can determine a  $k$  such that  $a_k \leq t+p < a_{k+1}$ . Then

$$0 \leq t < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k \leq t+p < a_{k+1}$$

and

$$(8) \quad A(t+1, t+p) = A(t+1, a_1) + A(a_2, a_k).$$

From this

$$(8') \quad p < a_{k+1}, \quad p > a_k - a_1$$

and so from (8), (8') we obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{A(t+1, a_1)}{p} + \frac{A(a_2, a_{k+1}) - 1}{a_{k+1}} &\leq \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} \\ &\leq \frac{A(t+1, a_1)}{p} + \frac{k-1}{a_k - a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously we have  $A(t+1, a_1) \leq a_1$  and so

$$\frac{A(t+1, a_1)}{p} = o(1) \quad (p \rightarrow \infty).$$

We arrange the left-hand side of (9). We get

$$\frac{A(a_2, a_{k+1}) - 1}{a_{k+1}} = -\frac{1}{a_{k+1}} + \frac{k}{a_{k+1} - a_2} \frac{a_{k+1} - a_2}{a_{k+1}} = o(1) + \frac{k}{a_{k+1} - a_2}$$

(if  $p \rightarrow \infty$  then  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , as well).

Wholly we have

$$\frac{k}{a_{k+1} - a_2} + o(1) \leq \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} \leq \frac{k-1}{a_k - a_1} + o(1).$$

If  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and by assumption (cf (2)) the terms

$$\frac{k-1}{a_k - a_1} - L, \quad \frac{k}{a_{k+1} - a_2} - L$$

converge to zero. But then (9) yields

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t+1, t+p)}{p} = L$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$ . So  $u(A) = L$ .

The following theorem is a simple consequence of Theorem 3.1

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\} \subseteq N$  be a lacunary set, i.e.*

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n) = +\infty.$$

Then  $u(A) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $M \in N$  such that  $M^{-1} < \varepsilon$ . By the assumption there exists an  $n_0$  such that for each  $n > n_0$  we get  $a_{n+1} - a_n > M$ .

Let  $k > n_0$ ,  $s \in N$ ,  $s > 1$ . Then

$$a_{k+s} - a_{k+1} = (a_{k+2} - a_{k+1}) + (a_{k+3} - a_{k+2}) + \dots + (a_{k+s} - a_{k+s-1}) > (s-1)M$$

and so

$$\frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}} < \frac{s}{(s-1)M} < 2\varepsilon.$$

Hence for each  $k > n_0$  and  $s \geq 2$  we have

$$\frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}} < 2\varepsilon.$$

If  $0 \leq k \leq n_0$ ,  $k$  is fixed, then

$$(11) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}} = 0,$$

since, for sufficiently large  $s$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+s} - a_{k+1} &= [(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1}) + \cdots + (a_{n_0+1} - a_{n_0})] \\ &\quad + [(a_{n_0+2} - a_{n_0+1}) + \cdots + (a_{k+s} - a_{k+s-1})] > M(k + s - n_0 - 1) \\ &\geq M(s - (n_0 + 1)). \end{aligned}$$

There exists only a finite number of  $k$ 's with  $0 \leq k \leq n_0$ , so we see that (11) holds uniformly with respect to  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n_0$ . So we get wholly

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s}{a_{k+s} - a_{k+1}} = 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ . So according to Theorem 3.1,  $u(A) = 0$ .

**Remark.** The assumption (10) in Theorem 3.2 cannot be replaced by the weaker assumption

$$(10') \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n) = +\infty.$$

This can be shown by the following example:

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k! + 1, k! + 2, \dots, k! + k\} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < \cdots\}.$$

Here we have  $\underline{u}(A) = 0$ ,  $\bar{u}(A) = 1$  and (10') is satisfied.

**Example 3.1** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . Put  $a_k = [k\alpha]$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $[v]$  denotes the integer part of  $v$ . We show that the uniform density of the set  $A$  is  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ . This follows from Theorem 3.1, since

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ . This uniform convergence can be shown by a simple calculation which gives the estimates ( $p \geq 2$ )

$$\frac{p}{(p-1)\alpha + 1} \leq \frac{p}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}} \leq \frac{p}{(p-1)\alpha - 1}.$$

#### 4. Darboux property of the uniform density

For every  $A \subseteq N$  having the uniform density the number  $u(A)$  belongs to  $[0, 1]$ . The natural question arises whether also conversely for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  there is a set  $A \subseteq N$  such that  $u(A) = t$ . The answer to this question is positive.

##### Theorem 4.1.

If  $t \in [0, 1]$  then there is a set  $A \subseteq N$  with  $u(A) = t$ .

**Proof.** We can already suppose that  $0 < t < 1$ . Construct the set

$$A = \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{t} \right], \left[ \frac{2}{t} \right], \dots, \left[ \frac{k}{t} \right], \dots \right\} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}.$$

Put  $a_k = \left[ \frac{k}{t} \right]$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and set in Example 3.1  $\alpha = \frac{1}{t} > 1$ . So we get

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{a_{k+p} - a_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} = t$$

uniformly with respect to  $k \geq 0$ . The assertion follows by Theorem 3.1.

Let  $v$  be a non-negative set function defined on a class  $S \subseteq 2^N$ . The function  $v$  is said to have the Darboux property provided that if  $v(A) > 0$  for  $A \in S$  and  $0 < t < v(A)$ , then there is a set  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $B \in S$  such that  $v(B) = t$  (cf. [6], [7], [9]).

**Theorem 4.2.** *The uniform density has the Darboux property.*

**Proof.** Let  $u(A) = \delta > 0$ ,

$$A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k < \dots\}$$

and  $0 < t < \delta$ . Construct the set

$$B = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k < \dots\}$$

in such a way that we set

$$b_k = a_{\left[ k \frac{\delta}{t} \right]} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Put  $n_k = [k \frac{\delta}{t}]$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ ,

$$B = \{a_{n_1} < a_{n_2} < \dots < a_{n_k} < \dots\}, \quad B \subseteq A.$$

We prove that  $u(B) = t$ .

By Theorem 3.1 it suffices to show that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{b_{m+p} - b_{m+1}} = t$$

uniformly with respect to  $m \geq 0$ .

We have ( $p > 1$ )

$$\frac{p}{b_{m+p} - b_{m+1}} = \frac{p}{a_{n_{m+p}} - a_{n_{m+1}}}.$$

By a simple arrangement we get

$$(13) \quad \frac{p}{b_{m+p} - b_{m+1}} = \frac{n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} + 1}{a_{n_{m+p}} - a_{n_{m+1}}} \frac{p}{n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} + 1}.$$

A simple estimation gives

$$(p-1) \frac{\delta}{t} - 1 < n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} < (p-1) \frac{\delta}{t} + 1.$$

Using this in (13) we get

$$(14) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} + 1} = \frac{t}{\delta}$$

uniformly with respect to  $m \geq 0$ .

Further by assumption

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p}{a_{s+p} - a_{s+1}} = \delta$$

uniformly with respect to  $s \geq 0$  (Theorem 3.1).

So we get

$$(15) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} + 1}{a_{n_{m+p}} - a_{n_{m+1}}} = \delta$$

uniformly with respect to  $m \geq 0$  since the sequence

$$\left( \frac{n_{m+p} - n_{m+1} + 1}{a_{n_{m+p}} - a_{n_{m+1}}} \right)_{p=2}^{\infty}$$

is a subsequence of the sequence

$$\left( \frac{p}{a_{s+p} - a_{s+1}} \right)_{p=1}^{\infty}.$$

By (13), (14), (15) we get (12) uniformly with respect to  $m \geq 0$ .

### References

- [1] BROWN, T. C. and FREEDMAN, A. R., Arithmetic progressions in lacunary sets, *Rocky Mountains J. Math* **17** (1987), 587–596.
- [2] BROWN, T. C. and FREEDMAN, A. R., The uniform density of sets of integers and Fermat's Last Theorem, *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* **XII** (1990), 1–6.
- [3] GREKOS, G., ŠALÁT, T. and TOMANOVÁ, J., Gaps and densities, (to appear).
- [4] GERKOS, G. and VOLKMANN, B., On densities and gaps, *J. Number Theory* **26** (1987), 129–148.
- [5] LORENTZ, G., A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, *Acta Math.* **80** (1848), 167–190.
- [6] MARCUS, S., Atomic measures and Darboux property, *Rev. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **VII** (1962), 327–332.
- [7] MARCUS, S., On the Darboux property for atomic measures and for series with positive terms, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **XI** (1966), 647–652.
- [8] NIVEN, I. and ZUCKERMAN, H. S., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, John Wiley, New York, London, Sydney, 1967.
- [9] OLEJČEK, V., Darboux property of finitely additive measure on  $\delta$ -ring, *Math. Slov.* **27** (1977), 195–201.
- [10] PETERSEN, G. M., Regular Matrix Transformations, Mc Graw-Hill, London, New York, Toronto, Sydney, 1966.

**Zuzana Gáliková and**

**Béla László**

Constantine Philosopher University

Department of Algebra and Number Theory

Tr. A. Hlinku 1

949 74 Nitra

Slovakia

E-mail: katc@ukf.sk

**Tibor Šalát**

Department of Algebra

and Number Theory

Mlynská dolina

842 15 Bratislava

Slovakia